Critical Steps in Documenting Research Findings in Peace and Conflict Studies

By

Wosu, Bright Chineme
Okey Onuchuku Institute of Peace and Conflict Studies,
Ignatius Ajuru University of Education,
Rumuolumeni, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria

&

Kingdom E. Orji,
Department of History and Diplomatic Studies,
Ignatius Ajuru University of Education,
Rumuolumeni, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.
Tel.no.+2348034623541
Email address: kingdom.orji@iaue.edu.ng

ABSTRACT

Documenting research findings in Peace and Conflict Studies requires methodological rigor, ethical sensitivity, and contextual awareness due to the complexity and sensitivity of conflict environments. This paper examines the critical steps involved in documenting research findings within this interdisciplinary field. It highlights the importance of systematic data organization, ethical considerations, triangulation of sources, contextual interpretation, and transparent reporting. The study emphasizes that research in peace and conflict settings often involves vulnerable populations, contested narratives, and dynamic socio-political conditions, making documentation a delicate but essential process. Furthermore, the paper explores key stages such as data validation, thematic analysis, reflexivity, and the integration of qualitative and quantitative findings. Special attention is given to ethical documentation practices, including confidentiality, informed consent, and avoidance of harm. The role of documentation in policy formulation, conflict resolution, and peace building interventions is also examined. The paper adopted a qualitative and descriptive research approach based on a comprehensive review of existing literature on documenting research findings in Peace and Conflict Studies. Data were obtained from secondary sources, including scholarly journals, textbooks, conference papers, and credible online publications. The paper concludes that rigorous and transparent documentation enhances the credibility, usability, and impact of research findings. It recommends adherence to international research standards, continuous methodological reflection, and the use of digital tools for accurate data management. These steps are essential for producing high-quality research that contributes meaningfully to peacebuilding and conflict transformation.

Keywords: Peace and conflict studies, Research, documentation of Findings, Ethics, Qualitative Method

1.0 Introduction

Peace and Conflict Studies is an interdisciplinary field concerned with understanding the causes, dynamics, and resolution of conflicts at local, national, and global levels. Research in this field often involves sensitive data, complex human experiences, and politically charged

environments. As such, documenting research findings is not merely a technical process but a critical scholarly responsibility (Connaughton, 2026).

Proper documentation ensures that findings are credible, reproducible, ethically sound, and useful for policymakers, practitioners, and scholars. Poor documentation, on the other hand, can distort realities, misinform interventions, and even exacerbate conflicts (Aldajani & Leiner, 2024).

This paper explores the critical steps involved in documenting research findings in Peace and Conflict Studies, emphasizing methodological rigor, ethical responsibility, and contextual sensitivity.

2.0 Nature of Research in Peace and Conflict Studies

Research in Peace and Conflict Studies is inherently complex, interdisciplinary, and context-dependent. It draws from disciplines such as sociology, political science, anthropology, and international relations to examine the causes, dynamics, and resolution of conflict (Galtung, 1996; Lederach, 2003). Unlike conventional research fields, it often involves fragile environments, vulnerable populations, and politically sensitive issues, which significantly influence how data is collected and documented.

One defining feature of research in this field is its human-centered nature. Researchers frequently engage directly with individuals who have experienced violence, displacement, or trauma. As a result, data collection relies heavily on qualitative methods such as interviews, focus group discussions, and participant observation (Mertens, 2020). These methods generate rich, narrative-based data that require careful interpretation and documentation.

Additionally, Peace and Conflict research is characterized by multiple and competing narratives. Different stakeholders such as victims, perpetrators, government actors, and community leaders often provide conflicting accounts of the same events. This makes it essential for researchers to critically analyze and document findings in a way that reflects these diverse perspectives without bias (Barrett & George, 2020).

The dynamic nature of conflict environments also means that conditions can change rapidly, affecting both data collection and interpretation. Therefore, documentation must be flexible, iterative, and sensitive to changing realities. Overall, the nature of research in this field demands a high level of methodological rigor, ethical awareness, and contextual understanding.

Researchers often deal with: Victims of violence, Perpetrators, Government and non-state actors and Community stakeholders. These factors make documentation more demanding than in many other disciplines.

3.0 Importance of Proper Documentation

Proper documentation is essential in ensuring that research findings are credible, transparent, and useful. In Peace and Conflict Studies, where findings may influence policy decisions,

peacebuilding interventions, and humanitarian responses, the stakes are particularly high (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

One major importance of documentation is that it enhances research credibility and reliability. When data is systematically recorded and clearly presented, other researchers and stakeholders can verify the findings, thereby strengthening trust in the research (Yin, 2018). Without proper documentation, findings may be questioned or dismissed.

Documentation also plays a key role in policy formulation and decision-making. Governments, non-governmental organizations, and international bodies often rely on research findings to design conflict resolution strategies and development programs. Well-documented research provides the evidence base needed for effective interventions (Lederach, 2003).

Furthermore, documentation ensures the preservation of knowledge and historical records. In conflict settings, research findings may serve as important records of events, human rights violations, and peace processes. These records can be used for advocacy, justice, and reconciliation efforts.

Another important function is that documentation promotes accountability and transparency. By clearly outlining methods, data sources, and analytical processes, researchers demonstrate that their findings are not arbitrary but are grounded in systematic inquiry (Mertens, 2020).

Accurate documentation helps avoid bias, misinterpretation, and manipulation of findings.

4.0 Critical Steps in Documenting Research Findings

Documenting research findings in Peace and Conflict Studies involves a systematic, rigorous, and ethically grounded process that ensures accuracy, transparency, and usability of data. These steps are not linear but often iterative, requiring continuous reflection and validation throughout the research process (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). Given the complexity of conflict environments, documentation must integrate both qualitative and quantitative approaches while maintaining contextual sensitivity (Mertens, 2020).

Scholars have emphasized that documentation in conflict research is not merely about recording data but about constructing meaning from lived experiences, narratives, and socio-political realities (Lederach, 2003). Therefore, researchers must adopt a structured approach that includes ethical safeguards, methodological rigor, and analytical depth. The following subsections outline key stages that ensure high-quality documentation suitable for academic publication and policy application.

4.1 Data Organization and Management

Data organization and management is the foundation of effective documentation. The first step involves systematically arranging, storing, and maintaining research data to ensure accuracy, accessibility, and security (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). This includes transcribing interviews, Labeling field notes, Categorizing survey responses, and Storing digital and physical records securely.

In Peace and Conflict Studies, data is often collected from multiple sources, including interviews, surveys, field notes, and archival materials. Proper organization requires that these data sources be clearly labeled, categorized, and stored in a way that allows easy retrieval. For example, interview transcripts may be coded according to themes, locations, or participant categories.

Effective data management also includes the use of digital tools and software, such as NVivo or Atlas.ti, which help researchers handle large volumes of qualitative data. These tools enable coding, sorting, and analysis, thereby improving efficiency and consistency.

Security is another critical aspect of data management. Given the sensitive nature of conflict-related data, researchers must ensure that information is stored securely to prevent unauthorized access. This may involve password protection, encryption, and restricted access protocols (Mertens, 2020).

Overall, proper data organization and management enhance the reliability of research findings and facilitate smooth analysis and reporting.
Proper data management ensures:

- ★ Easy retrieval
- ★ Accuracy
- ★ Prevention of data loss

4.2 Data Cleaning and Validation

Data cleaning and validation are essential steps that ensure the accuracy and reliability of research data before analysis. Raw data collected in the field may contain errors, inconsistencies, or incomplete information, which must be addressed to maintain research quality (Yin, 2018).

Data cleaning involves identifying and correcting errors such as:

- ★ Missing values
- ★ Duplicate entries
- ★ Inconsistent responses
- ★ Typographical errors
- ★ Verifying sources

For example, in survey data, respondents may provide incomplete answers, which need to be handled appropriately through imputation or exclusion.

Validation, on the other hand, involves verifying the authenticity and credibility of data. This may include cross-checking information with multiple sources, confirming participant responses, and ensuring that data accurately reflects the research context (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

In Peace and Conflict Studies, validation is particularly important due to the presence of biased or conflicting narratives. Researchers must critically evaluate the reliability of sources and avoid relying on unverified information. Validation ensures that:

- ★ **Data reflects reality**
- ★ **Findings are reliable**
- ★ **Bias is minimized**

By ensuring that data is clean and valid, researchers can produce findings that are both accurate and trustworthy.

4.3 Ethical Considerations in Documentation

Ethical considerations are central to documenting research findings in Peace and Conflict Studies due to the vulnerability of participants and the sensitivity of data. Researchers must adhere strictly to ethical principles such as informed consent, confidentiality, anonymity, and the "do no harm" principle (Mertens, 2020).

Informed consent requires that participants fully understand the purpose, risks, and benefits of the research before agreeing to participate. This is particularly important in conflict settings where participants may face risks of retaliation or stigmatization (Yin, 2018). Confidentiality and anonymity must be maintained by removing identifying information and securely storing data to prevent unauthorized access.

Furthermore, ethical documentation involves careful handling of sensitive narratives, especially those involving trauma, violence, or political conflict. Researchers must avoid re-traumatizing participants and ensure that their findings do not expose individuals or communities to harm, handle sensitive information responsibly, avoid exposing vulnerable groups and protect identities of participants (Barrett & George, 2020). Ethical reflexivity is also essential, as researchers must continuously evaluate how their actions and interpretations affect participants and the research outcomes (Silverman, 2016).

4.4 Data Analysis and Interpretation (Including Common Methods)

Data analysis in Peace and Conflict Studies involves transforming raw data into meaningful insights that address research questions. This process requires systematic methods that allow researchers to identify patterns, relationships, and underlying themes (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

One of the most commonly used methods is **thematic analysis**, which involves coding data and identifying recurring themes across interviews or textual materials. This method is particularly useful in understanding narratives of conflict, peacebuilding experiences, and community perceptions (Braun & Clarke, 2006).

Another important method is **content analysis**, which focuses on analyzing textual or visual data by categorizing information into predefined themes. This method is often used in analyzing policy documents, media reports, and conflict narratives (Krippendorff, 2018).

Discourse analysis examines how language is used to construct meaning, power relations, and social identities within conflict contexts. It is especially relevant in Peace and Conflict Studies where narratives and rhetoric play a significant role in shaping conflict dynamics (Fairclough, 2013).

For quantitative data, **statistical analysis** is used to identify trends, correlations, and causal relationships. Techniques such as regression analysis and descriptive statistics help in understanding patterns of violence, conflict intensity, or peace interventions (Punch, 2016).

Researchers often adopt a **mixed-methods approach**, combining qualitative and quantitative techniques to provide a more comprehensive understanding of complex conflict situations (Mertens, 2020). Interpretation must be grounded in context, ensuring that findings are not detached from the realities of the studied environment.

Researchers must:
* Identify patterns
* Interpret meanings
* Link findings to research questions

Interpretation involves going beyond description to explain the significance of findings. Researchers must relate results to existing theories, literature, and the broader context of the study. In Peace and Conflict Studies, interpretation must be handled carefully to avoid misrepresentation of sensitive issues.

4.5 Triangulation of Data

Triangulation of data is a critical methodological strategy used in research to enhance the credibility, validity, and reliability of findings. It involves the use of multiple data sources, methods, theories, or investigators to examine a research problem from different perspectives (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). In Peace and Conflict Studies, where research often deals with complex and sensitive issues, triangulation is particularly essential.

One major form of triangulation is **data triangulation**, which involves collecting information from different sources such as interviews, focus group discussions, observations, and documentary evidence. For example, a researcher studying conflict in a community may gather data from victims, local leaders, and government officials to obtain a more comprehensive understanding of the situation (Yin, 2018).

Another type is **methodological triangulation**, which involves using different research methods such as combining qualitative and quantitative approaches to study the same phenomenon. This helps to overcome the limitations of a single method and provides a more robust analysis (Mertens, 2020).

Investigator triangulation refers to the involvement of multiple researchers in the data collection and analysis process. This reduces individual bias and enhances objectivity, as different researchers may interpret data differently and provide alternative insights (Denzin, 2017).

There is also **theoretical triangulation**, where multiple theoretical perspectives are used to interpret the data. In Peace and Conflict Studies, this might involve applying theories of conflict transformation, structural violence, and human security to the same dataset, thereby enriching the interpretation (Galtung, 1996; Lederach, 2003).

The primary importance of triangulation lies in its **ability to cross-validate findings.** When different sources or methods produce similar results, the researcher gains greater confidence in the accuracy of the findings. Conversely, when discrepancies arise, they prompt deeper analysis and critical reflection, which can lead to more nuanced and comprehensive conclusions (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

However, triangulation also presents challenges. It can be time-consuming, resource-intensive, and may require advanced analytical skills to integrate multiple datasets effectively. Despite these challenges, it remains an indispensable tool for ensuring rigor in research documentation.

In summary, triangulation strengthens research by providing a multi-dimensional understanding of complex issues, reducing bias, and enhancing the overall trustworthiness or credibility of findings. In the context of Peace and Conflict Studies, it is essential for capturing the diverse realities and perspectives that characterize conflict situations.

4.6 Contextualization of Findings

Contextualization is a critical step in documenting research findings, particularly in Peace and Conflict Studies where social, cultural, political, and historical factors significantly influence outcomes. Findings must be interpreted within the broader environment in which the data was collected (Galtung, 1996).

For instance, understanding ethnic tensions, colonial histories, economic inequalities, and governance structures is essential for interpreting conflict-related data accurately. Without proper contextualization, findings may be misleading or oversimplified (Lederach, 2003).

Researchers must also consider local perspectives and indigenous knowledge systems, as these provide deeper insights into conflict dynamics and peacebuilding processes. Contextual analysis helps to bridge the gap between empirical data and real-world application, making research findings more relevant and actionable (Barrett & George, 2020).
Without context, findings may be misleading.

4.7 Reflexivity in Documentation

Reflexivity refers to the researcher's critical self-awareness regarding their role, influence, and biases in the research process. In Peace and Conflict Studies, reflexivity is particularly important because researchers often engage directly with sensitive issues and diverse populations (Mertens, 2020).

Researchers must reflect on their positionality, including their cultural background, political beliefs, and personal experiences, and how these may shape data collection, interpretation, and reporting (Silverman, 2016). For example, a researcher's identity may influence how participants respond or what information they are willing to disclose.

Reflexivity also involves acknowledging power dynamics between the researcher and participants. In conflict settings, these dynamics can affect the authenticity of responses and the overall research process (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

By incorporating reflexivity into documentation, researchers enhance transparency, integrity and credibility. It allows readers to understand the context in which the research was conducted and to critically evaluate the findings.

4.8 Structuring the Research Findings

Structuring research findings is essential for clarity, coherence, and academic rigor. A well-structured presentation allows readers to follow the logical progression of the study and understand how conclusions were derived (Yin, 2018). Typical structure includes: Introduction, methodology, results, discussion and conclusion.

The **introduction** section of the findings chapter typically restates the research objectives and provides an overview of the results. It sets the stage for presenting detailed findings.

The **results** section presents the data in an organized manner, often using themes, categories, or statistical outputs. In qualitative research, this may involve presenting themes supported by direct quotations from participants. In quantitative research, tables, charts, and graphs are used to illustrate findings (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

The **discussion section** interprets the findings by linking them to existing literature, theoretical frameworks, and research questions. This is where the researcher explains the significance of the results and how they contribute to knowledge in Peace and Conflict Studies (Punch, 2016).

The **conclusion section** summarizes the key findings and highlights their implications for policy, practice, and future research. In conflict studies, this section often includes recommendations for peacebuilding and conflict resolution strategies (Lederach, 2003).

A clear and logical structure enhances readability, ensures coherence, and meets the standards required for publication in reputable journals.

Each section must be logically organized, clearly presented and supported by evidence.

4.9 Use of Evidence and Supporting Data

The credibility of research findings depends heavily on the appropriate use of evidence. In Peace and Conflict Studies, evidence must be carefully selected, accurately presented, and critically interpreted to support arguments and conclusions (Yin, 2018).

Qualitative evidence often includes **direct quotations from participants**, which provide insight into lived experiences and perspectives. These quotes must be representative of broader themes rather than isolated views. Researchers must also ensure that such evidence is ethically presented, especially when dealing with sensitive or traumatic narratives (Silverman, 2016).

Quantitative evidence is typically presented **through tables, charts, and graphs**, which help illustrate patterns and relationships clearly. These visual tools enhance understanding and make findings accessible to diverse audiences (Punch, 2016).

Importantly, evidence must not be presented in isolation. Researchers are expected to analyze and interpret the data, explaining how it supports their arguments and relates to the research questions (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). In conflict research, improper use or misinterpretation of evidence can lead to misleading conclusions and potentially harmful policy implications.

4.10 Maintaining Objectivity and Neutrality

Maintaining objectivity and neutrality is essential for ensuring the integrity of research findings. In Peace and Conflict Studies, this can be particularly challenging due to the emotional and political sensitivity of the subject matter (Mertens, 2020).

Objectivity requires that researchers base their conclusions on evidence rather than personal opinions or biases. This involves systematically analyzing data and presenting findings in a balanced manner (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). Researchers must also acknowledge and consider multiple perspectives, especially in contexts where narratives are contested.

Neutrality does not imply the absence of critical analysis but rather the **fair representation of all viewpoints**. Researchers should avoid privileging one perspective without sufficient evidence and must be transparent about their analytical choices (Barrett & George, 2020).

Ensuring objectivity enhances the **credibility and trustworthiness** of research, making it more useful for academic, policy, and practical applications.

4.11 Proper Referencing and Citation (APA 7th Edition)

Referencing is a fundamental aspect of academic writing that ensures intellectual honesty and scholarly rigor. In Peace and Conflict Studies, proper citation demonstrates that research is grounded in existing knowledge and contributes to ongoing academic discourse (American Psychological Association, 2020).

The APA 7th edition style requires:
* In-text citations using the **author-date format**
* A comprehensive **reference list** at the end of the document
* Consistent formatting for different types of sources

Proper referencing serves several functions. It acknowledges original authors, avoids plagiarism, and allows readers to verify sources and explore further readings (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). It also strengthens the academic credibility of the research.

Failure to properly cite sources can undermine the validity of the study and may result in academic penalties. Therefore, accuracy and consistency in referencing are essential.

4.12 Editing and Peer Review

Editing and peer review are critical steps in refining research findings and ensuring their quality. Editing involves reviewing the document for clarity, coherence, grammar, and logical flow, while also ensuring consistency in formatting and citation style (Punch, 2016).

Peer review involves evaluation by other scholars or experts in the field. This process provides **constructive feedback**, helping to identify weaknesses, biases, or gaps in the research (Mertens, 2020). Peer-reviewed work is generally considered more credible because it has undergone rigorous scrutiny.

In Peace and Conflict Studies, peer review is especially important because research findings may influence policy decisions and peacebuilding interventions. Ensuring accuracy and reliability through review processes helps prevent the dissemination of misleading or harmful information.

4.13 Presentation of Research Findings

The presentation of research findings is the final stage of documentation and determines how effectively the results are communicated. Findings must be presented in a **clear, logical, and accessible manner** to both academic and non-academic audiences (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

Effective presentation includes:
* Clear and concise language
* Logical organization of content
* Use of visual aids such as charts and tables

In Peace and Conflict Studies, findings are often presented in various formats, including academic papers, conference presentations, and policy briefs. Researchers must adapt their presentation style to suit the audience, ensuring that key messages are effectively conveyed (Lederach, 2003).

Good presentation enhances the impact of research and increases its potential to inform policy and practice.

5.0 Challenges in Documenting Research Findings

Documenting research findings in Peace and Conflict Studies is often associated with several challenges. These include **limited access to conflict zones**, which may restrict data collection and verification (Yin, 2018). Security risks also pose significant challenges, as researchers may be exposed to dangerous environments.

Another major challenge is **bias and subjectivity**, both from participants and researchers. Conflicting narratives and political influences can affect the accuracy of data and its interpretation (Barrett & George, 2020). Language barriers and cultural differences may also complicate data collection and documentation.

Emotional stress is another important factor, as researchers often engage with traumatic experiences. This can affect both data interpretation and researcher well-being (Mertens, 2020).

Addressing these challenges requires careful planning, ethical sensitivity, and methodological rigor.

6.0 Role of Documentation in Peacebuilding

Documentation of research findings plays a crucial role in promoting peacebuilding and conflict resolution. Well-documented research **provides the evidence base for policy formulation**, helping governments and organizations design effective interventions (Lederach, 2003).

It also **contributes to conflict analysis**, enabling stakeholders to understand the root causes, dynamics, and impacts of conflict. This understanding is essential for developing sustainable solutions (Galtung, 1996).

Furthermore, documentation **supports advocacy and human rights protection** by providing credible evidence of abuses and injustices. Such evidence can be used in legal processes, truth commissions, and reconciliation efforts.

In addition, research documentation helps **preserve historical records,** ensuring that the experiences of affected communities are not forgotten. This is important for memory, justice, and long-term peacebuilding.

Overall, effective documentation transforms research into a powerful tool for social change, policy development, and sustainable peace.

7.0 Conclusion

Documenting research findings in Peace and Conflict Studies is a complex but essential process that requires methodological rigor, ethical responsibility, and contextual awareness. The critical steps outlined in this paper from data organization to presentation ensure that research findings are credible, reliable, and impactful.

Given the sensitive nature of conflict research, proper documentation not only contributes to academic knowledge but also plays a crucial role in promoting peace, justice, and sustainable development.

Researchers must therefore adhere to best practices, maintain ethical standards, and continuously reflect on their methodologies to produce high-quality, publishable research.

Methodology

This study adopted a qualitative and descriptive research approach based on a comprehensive review of existing literature on documenting research findings in Peace and Conflict Studies. Data were obtained from secondary sources, including scholarly journals, textbooks, conference papers, and credible online publications. Relevant materials were selected based on their relevance to research documentation, ethical considerations, data management, analysis, and reporting in conflict-related studies. The collected information was critically reviewed, synthesized, and analyzed thematically to identify the critical steps, challenges, and best practices involved in documenting research findings in Peace and Conflict Studies.

Recommendations

1. Researchers should strictly adhere to ethical principles such as informed consent, confidentiality, anonymity, and the "do no harm" principle to protect participants and maintain the integrity of research findings.
2. Researchers should utilize appropriate data organization, storage, and security mechanisms, including digital data management tools, to ensure accuracy, accessibility, and protection of sensitive information.
3. Multiple data sources, methods, and perspectives should be employed to validate findings, minimize bias, and enhance the credibility and reliability of research outcomes.
4. Academic institutions and research organizations should provide regular training on research documentation, data analysis, referencing, ethical reporting, and publication standards to improve the quality and impact of Peace and Conflict Studies research.

REFERENCES

American Psychological Association. (2020). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (7th ed.). American Psychological Association.

Aldajani, I., & Leiner, M. (2024). Reconciliation and peace studies. In *Reconciliation, conflict transformation and peace studies* (pp. 3–13). Springer.

Barrett, F., & George, S. (2020). *Research methods in peace and conflict studies: A practical guide*. Sage Publications.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77–101. [https: // doi.org/ 10.1191/ 1478088706qp063oa]

Connaughton, S. L. (2026). Peace studies journal introduction. *Peace Studies, 1*(1), 1.

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). Sage Publications.

Denzin, N. K. (2017). *The research act: A theoretical introduction to sociological methods* (3rd ed.). Routledge.

Fairclough, N. (2013). *Critical discourse analysis: The critical study of language* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Flick, U. (2018). Doing triangulation and mixed methods. *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Data Collection*.

Galtung, J. (1996). *Peace by peaceful means: Peace and conflict, development and civilization*. Sage Publications.

Krippendorff, K. (2018). *Content analysis: An introduction to its methodology* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Lederach, J. P. (2003). The *little book of conflict transformation*. Good Books.

Mertens, D. M. (2020). *Research and evaluation in education and psychology: Integrating diversity with quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methods* (5th ed.). Sage Publications.

Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 16* (1), 1–13. [https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406917733847]

Punch, K. F. (2016). *Introduction to social research: Quantitative and qualitative approaches* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.

Silverman, D. (2016). *Qualitative research* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Tracy, S. J. (2010). Qualitative quality: Eight "big-tent" criteria for excellent qualitative research. *Qualitative Inquiry, 16* (10), 837–851. [https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800410383121]

Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). Sage Publications.